

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF United States Patent and Trademark Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATEN P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov COMMERCE Office

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

APPLICATION NO. 10/680,915

FILING DATE 10/08/2003

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Joseph D. Rippolone

67,067-007

26096

04/23/2004

EXAMINER

WALTON, GEORGE L

CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C. 400 WEST MAPLE ROAD

SUITE 350

BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3753

DATE MAILED: 04/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		O- /
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/680,915	RIPPOLONE, JOSEPH D.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	George L. Walton	3753
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 		
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by either one of Kemp or Kayfetz. In the patent to Kemp, the hollow shaft with an angled portion is readable on elements a and A and the resilient member is readable on element D. The container is readable on the source that is attached to the end of element a that receives plug a'. Elements B and C are readable on the head portion, which is selectively mounted by the screw action between elements A, B and C. In the patent to Kayfetz, the hollow shaft with an angled portion is readable on elements 12, 17 and 18 and the resilient member is readable on elements 10 and 14. The container is readable on the source that is attached to the end of element 20. Elements 15 and 19 are readable on the head portion, which is selectively mounted by the screw action between elements 12, 15, 17 and 19.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either one of Allen or McGovern. The above claims are readable on the patent to either Allen or McGovern with the exceptions of having a) a hollow shaft with an angled portion that is attached to a resilient blocking member and b) a resilient member manufactured of a hazardous resistant material. The patent to Kemp teaches the above exception. In view of the teaching of Kemp, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the hollow shaft 18 of Allen or hollow shaft 12 of McGovern et al to have an angled portion as taught by elements a and A, if desired. Such a modification would provide no unobvious or unexpected result. Exception b) is merely a matter of obvious design expedient in view of the resilient or elastomeric member 14 and 20 of Allen or resilient or elastomeric member 26 of McGovern et al. Note that exception b) is dependent on the environmental use. The head portion 14 and 20 of Allen can be of different sizes to accommodate different size pipes in order to be selectively mounted to hollow shaft 18(see column 1, lines 59-64 and column 2, lines 21-23) or head portion 16, 26 and 28 of McGovern et al can be of different sizes

Application/Control Number: 10/680,915

Art Unit: 3753

to accommodate different size pipes in order to be selectively mounted to hollow shaft 12 (see

Page 4

column 4, lines 65-68).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to George L. Walton whose telephone number is 703-308-2596.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Dave Scherbel can be reached on 703-308-1272. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

George L. Walton

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3753

GLW